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**Abstract**

This research aims to disseminate the result of the research about diffusion or the process of spreading the previous year innovation. The processes are divided into several stages namely socialization, training and assistance. This research employed an approach for adult education because the participants were early childhood education teachers. The methods used for training were simulation and peer teaching. There were five department receiving intensive assistances, and then these departments became the learning center for early childhood education departments around that region. Finally, dissemination was assessed based on the effectiveness of the training.
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Review 1 (Reviewer E)

Originality (New or Novel contribution) | Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution) | Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)
--- | --- | ---
Accept (8) | Accept (8) | Weak Accept (6)

Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])
The paper should be accepted because innovation on childhood education should be disseminated to assist the early childhood education institution to adopt better method of teaching and learning which will ensure effective early childhood education.

Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

This paper will add to the new knowledge on how to assist the early childhood education institution to adopt better method of teaching and learning which will ensure effective early childhood education.

A clear description of the scientific methodologies is missing.

This structure is not adequate for a scientific publication.

This paper is about

It analyzes the results obtained in an educational research and its impact on the education of children.

It explains the methodology used and the results obtained.

The bibliography used is not updated.

Recommendations to improve:

- Minor correction for this paper and be accepted in this conference and congratulation to the author(s). However there are suggest to extend the set of data to support the accurate hypotheses or conclusion.

- A clear description of the scientific methodologies is missing.

- The structure is not adequate for a scientific publication.

- In term of data, please add a clear figure and table. Good data elaboration. More results should be incorporated.

- Need to change the references since some of them are too old. Need to update between 2011-2016.

- Well written English paper.

- Please check the template in the conference website.

- Originality (New or Novel contribution) | Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution) | Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)
--- | --- | ---
Accept (8) | Accept (8) | Accept (8)

Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])

Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

This paper is about

It analyzes the results obtained in an educational research and its impact on the education of children.

It explains the methodology used and the results obtained.

It analyzes the results obtained in an educational research and its impact on the education of children.

It explains the methodology used and the results obtained.

In term of data, please add a clear figure and table. Good data elaboration. More results should be incorporated.

Recommendations to improve:

- Minor correction for this paper and be accepted in this conference and congratulation to the author(s). However there are suggest to extend the set of data to support the accurate hypotheses or conclusion.

- A clear description of the scientific methodologies is missing.

- The structure is not adequate for a scientific publication.

- In term of data, please add a clear figure and table. Good data elaboration. More results should be incorporated.

- Need to change the references since some of them are too old. Need to update between 2011-2016.

- Well written English paper.

- Please check the template in the conference website.

- Originality (New or Novel contribution) | Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution) | Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)
--- | --- | ---
Neutral (5) | Neutral (5) | Neutral (5)

Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])

Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

This research aims to disseminate the result of the research about diffusion or the process of spreading the previous year innovation.

This paper is about

It analyzes the results obtained in an educational research and its impact on the education of children.

It explains the methodology used and the results obtained.

It explains the methodology used and the results obtained.

In term of data, please add a clear figure and table. Good data elaboration. More results should be incorporated.

In term of data, please add a clear figure and table. Good data elaboration. More results should be incorporated.

Recommendations to improve:

- Minor correction for this paper and be accepted in this conference and congratulation to the author(s). However there are suggest to extend the set of data to support the accurate hypotheses or conclusion.

- A clear description of the scientific methodologies is missing.

- The structure is not adequate for a scientific publication.

- In term of data, please add a clear figure and table. Good data elaboration. More results should be incorporated.

- Need to change the references since some of them are too old. Need to update between 2011-2016.

- Well written English paper.

- Please check the template in the conference website.

- Originality (New or Novel contribution) | Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution) | Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)
--- | --- | ---
Reject (2) | Reject (2) | Reject (2)

Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])

Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

This research aims to disseminate the result of the research about diffusion or the process of spreading the previous year innovation.

This structure is not adequate for a scientific publication.

A clear description of the scientific methodologies is missing.

Recommendations to improve:

- Minor correction for this paper and be accepted in this conference and congratulation to the author(s). However there are suggest to extend the set of data to support the accurate hypotheses or conclusion.

- A clear description of the scientific methodologies is missing.

- The structure is not adequate for a scientific publication.

- In term of data, please add a clear figure and table. Good data elaboration. More results should be incorporated.

- Need to change the references since some of them are too old. Need to update between 2011-2016.

- Well written English paper.

- Please check the template in the conference website.

- Originality (New or Novel contribution) | Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution) | Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)
--- | --- | ---
Neutral (5) | Neutral (5) | Neutral (5)

Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])

Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

This research aims to disseminate the result of the research about diffusion or the process of spreading the previous year innovation.

This structure is not adequate for a scientific publication.

A clear description of the scientific methodologies is missing.

Recommendations to improve:

- Minor correction for this paper and be accepted in this conference and congratulation to the author(s). However there are suggest to extend the set of data to support the accurate hypotheses or conclusion.

- A clear description of the scientific methodologies is missing.

- The structure is not adequate for a scientific publication.

- In term of data, please add a clear figure and table. Good data elaboration. More results should be incorporated.

- Need to change the references since some of them are too old. Need to update between 2011-2016.

- Well written English paper.

- Please check the template in the conference website.

- Originality (New or Novel contribution) | Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution) | Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)
--- | --- | ---
Neutral (5) | Neutral (5) | Neutral (5)

Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])
Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

None of new novelty with this paper but can gain the information and the knowledge.

Review 6 (Reviewer W)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Originality (New or Novel contribution)</th>
<th>Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution)</th>
<th>Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weak Accept (6)</td>
<td>Weak Accept (6)</td>
<td>Weak Accept (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])

Strength:
1. This paper represents research on a topic which is current and applicable to a wide range of audience.
2. The authors explained their motivations and methods for their study.
3. The paper was easy to read, and organized in an easy-to-follow manner.

Weaknesses:
1. Please check the format of the paper.
2. Some of the references are not up to date.
3. Abstract is weak and insufficient, need to extend and make it much more concrete.

Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

This purpose of this paper is to disseminate the result of the research about diffusion or the process of spreading the previous year innovation.

Review 7 (Reviewer Y)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Originality (New or Novel contribution)</th>
<th>Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution)</th>
<th>Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accept (8)</td>
<td>Accept (8)</td>
<td>Accept (8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])

- investigated diffusion of spreading the previous year innovation

Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

This is an excellent report dealing with significant addressed issue. There is no fault whatsoever with the methods, data analysis, or conclusions. The work, as with all work coming from this particular group, is fundamentally sound. This paper is exceeding maximum pages allowed (5 pages), 6th and above pages will be charged USD100/page.

Review 8 (Reviewer Z)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Originality (New or Novel contribution)</th>
<th>Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution)</th>
<th>Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accept (8)</td>
<td>Accept (8)</td>
<td>Weak Accept (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])

Overall, the contents are good and clearly discussed.

Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

Comments
Introduction/literature review
Sufficient contents with related citation and the significance of the study is well explained.

Methodology
Sufficient data to support the findings
The methodology is well explained

Results/discussion
The results are nicely presented and well discussed.

Review 9 (Reviewer AA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Originality (New or Novel contribution)</th>
<th>Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution)</th>
<th>Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neutral (5)</td>
<td>Weak Accept (6)</td>
<td>Weak Accept (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])

strengths
1. This paper represents research on a topic which is current and applicable to a wide range of audience

weaknesses
1. Some minor grammatical and spelling mistakes
2. Need more current references

Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

This paper is timely, and addresses a topic of much interest.
Review 10 (Reviewer AC)

Originality (New or Novel contribution) Weak Accept (6)

Significance of Topic (Relating to knowledge contribution) Neutral (5)

Presentation (Clarity and Organisation of Content) Weak Accept (6)

Strengths/Weakness (What are the major reasons to accept/reject the paper? [Be brief.])

The paper presents an initial study on the learning process, but this data is still very superficial.
This lack of depth in the study leads us to an insufficient conclusion for any kind of play.

Contribution/s & Detailed comments (What are the major issues addressed in the paper? Do you consider them important? Comment on the degree of novelty, creativity and technical depth in the paper. Please provide detailed comments that will be helpful to the TPC for assessing the paper, as well as feedback to the authors.)

Figure 1 should be the closest to the text. Distance makes it difficult to understand.
The paper can be accepted, provided that the correct corrections are made.
There are not many contributions presented in the text, only a brief textual description.

https://edas.info/showPaper.php?m=1570388730